## Case: 16-71915, 06/16/2017, ID: 10477008, DktEntry: 44, Page 1 of 2

STEWART WEINBERG DAVID A ROSENFELD WILLIAM A. SOKOL BLYTHE MICKELSON BARRY E. HINKLE JAMES J. WESSER ANTONIO RUZ MATTONIO RUZ MATTONIO

SHARON A. SEIDENSTEIN LISL R. SOTO

## WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation

1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200 Alameda, CA 94501 TELEPHONE (510) 337-1001 FAX (510) 337-1023 WWW.UNIONCOUNSEL.NET JOLENE KRAMER
ANTHONY J. TUCCI
MICHAEL D. BURSTEIN
ALEJANDRO DELGADO
MINSU D. LONGIARU •••••
CAROLINE N. COHEN
XOCHITI. A. LOPEZ
CAITLIN E. GRAV
TIFFANY CRAIN ALTAMIRANO ••
RYAN B. KADEVARI
ANDREA E. BRUSS
DAVID W. M. FUJIMOTO
CHRISTINE E. ALVISUREZ
ADAM J. THOMAS

VINCENT A. HARRINGTON, Of Counsel PATRICIA M. GATES, Of Counsel ROBERTA D. PERKINS, Of Counsel NINA FENDEL. Of Counsel NINA FENDEL. Of Counsel TRACY L. MAINGUY, Of Counsel CHRISTIAN L. RAISNER, Of Counsel THEODORE FRANKLIN, Of Counsel ROBERT E. SZYKOWNY, Of Counsel

Admitted in Hawaii
 Also admitted in Nevada
 Also admitted in Illinois
 Also admitted in New York and Alaska
 Also admitted in New York and Michiga

June 16, 2017

## VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Molly Dwyer, Clerk Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit 95 Seventh Street P.O. Box 193939 San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: Robert C. Munoz v. NLRB, Case No. 16-71915 Tarlton and Son, Inc. v. NLRB, Case No. 17-70532 NLRB v. Tarlton and Son, Inc., Case No. 17-70632

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

This letter is written pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 28(j).

In *Oliveira v. New Prime, Inc.*, 2017 U.S. App. LEXIS 8474 (1st Cir. Mass. May 12, 2017), the First Circuit held that the Federal Arbitration Act does not apply to truck drivers. The Court held that the FAA does not apply to such a transportation worker.

One of the issues in this case is whether Tarlton's arbitration policy applies to its truck driver. This new case confirms that it does not.

The Sixth Circuit decided *NLRB v. Alternative Entertainment, Inc.*, 2017 U.S. App. LEXIS 9272 (6th Cir. May 26, 2017), in which the Court upheld the Board's decisions in various cases, finding mandatory arbitration provisions that waive class or collective or group actions to be unlawful. The Court joined with the Seventh and this Circuit which have found these provisions to be unlawful under the National Labor Relations Act. We recognize that that issue is now pending before the Supreme Court, but the Sixth Circuit has now joined in with this Court's decision.

Sincerely,

/s/ David A. Rosenfeld

David A. Rosenfeld

DAR:kk opeiu 29 afl-cio(1) 141619\919579 Case: 16-71915, 06/16/2017, ID: 10477008, DktEntry: 44, Page 2 of 2

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I am a citizen of the United States and an employee in the County of Alameda, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200, Alameda, California 94501.

I hereby certify that on June 16, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing **letter pursuant to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 28(j)** with the United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, by using the Court's CM/ECF system.

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the Notice of Electronic Filing by the Court's CM/ECF system.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. Executed at Alameda, California, on June 16, 2017.

